This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

■ OTHER: _____

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/826,856	04/06/2001		Adam Marc Scott	PRE0001-US	3193
27510	7590	08/26/2004		EXAMINER	
		CKTON LLP	PATEL, HARESH N		
607 14TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2154	2154

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		100	1				
• .	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/826,856	SCOTT, ADAM MARC					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Haresh Patel	2154					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from s, cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 A</u>	pril 2001.						
•	s action is non-final.						
,—	ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is losed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica nity documents have been receiv nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2154

ς.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-21 are presented for examination.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-11, are drawn to "a system for detecting changes in the content of a web page on a web server", classified in class 719, subclass 317.
 - II. Claims 12-16, are drawn to "protecting the data integrity of a web page by comparing the baseline stored information with the updated information", classified in class 707, subclass 10.
 - III. Claims 17-19, are drawn to "protecting web site data by specifying the frequency with which the web site to be monitored and by specifying specific communication means", classified in class 709, subclass 228.
 - IV. Claims 20 and 21, are drawn to "a system comprising plurality of servers and a load balancer to distribute processing and communication activity across the plurality of web servers", classified in class 709, subclass 226.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I to IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as detecting changes in the content of a web page on a web server, lacking one or more of the particulars of inventions II, III and IV. Invention II has separate utility such as protecting

Art Unit: 2154

the data integrity of a web page by comparing the baseline stored information with the updated information, lacking one or more of the particulars of inventions of I, III and IV. Invention III has separate utility such as protecting web site data by specifying the frequency with which the web site to be monitored and by specifying specific communication means, one or more of the particulars of inventions of I, II and IV. Invention IV has separate utility such as a system comprising plurality of servers and a load balancer to distribute processing and communication activity across the plurality of web servers, one or more of the particulars of inventions of I, II and III. See MPEP 806.05(d).

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the extensive search required for one group is not required for the other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2154

7. Examiner is unable to contact the new attorney of record, paper number, 12/13/2002, since no phone/fax number is available. Hence, examiner was unable to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement.

- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 9. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 0 (zero) months and 30 (thirty) days from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in ABANDONMENT of the application (see 35 U.S.C. 133, MPEP 710.02, 710.02(b)).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Haresh Patel whose telephone number is (703) 605-5234. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday from 10:00 am to 8:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee, can be reached at (703) 305-8498.

The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2154

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Haresh Patel

August 13, 2004

N. SHady